

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/899,107	,107 07/06/2001		Kazuo Saito	0171-0763P	2711		
2292	7590	06/05/2003					
BIRCH ST	EWART	KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER			
PO BOX 74		A 22040 0747	ALEJANDRO, RAYMOND				
FALLS CH	JKCH, V	A 22040-0747		·			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				1745			
				DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	I A THE TAKE					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	09/899,107	SAITO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Raymond Alejandro	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	1 May 2003 .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ □	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s)are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	pplication No					
Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• •						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to the amendment filed on 05/21/03. The applicants have overcome the objections and the 35 USC 112 rejections. However, the instant claims are finally rejected as seen below for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Saito et al US 2002/0028368.

The instant application is directed to a fuel cell separator wherein the disclosed inventive concept comprises the specific particle and composition feature.

The applied reference has common inventors with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As to claims 1, 2 and 6-7:

Saito et al disclose a fuel cell separator which is molded from an electrically conductive resinous composition wherein the fuel cell separator has on one side or both sides thereof grooves through which reactant gas is supplied (claim 4); the process for producing the fuel cell

, Art Unit: 1745

separator is also disclosed (claim 5); the injection molding mixture comprises 100 parts by mass of the thermoplastic resin and 100-1000 parts by mass of the electrically conductive carbon powder (claims 2 and 5/ sections 0019). It is also disclosed that the mean particle diameter is 10-500 µm (section 0017), preferably 30-300 µm (section 0043). The carbon powder component can be flake graphite or massive graphite among others (section 0042).

With respect to claim 5:

It is disclosed that the resistivity is preferably 2-30 m Ω .cm (section 0060); and specifically, 12.72 m Ω .cm, 18.7 m Ω .cm, 15.2 m Ω .cm, 16.0 m Ω .cm, 14.2 m Ω .cm, 3.5 m Ω .cm, 7.9 m Ω .cm (Table 1).

Regarding claims 3 and 7:

The density is greater than 0.6 g/ml, that is, 1.9 g/ml, 1.83 g/ml, 1.77 g/ml, 1.94 g/ml and the like (Table 1).

As to claim 1 and 4:

It is disclosed that the electrically conductive carbon powder should preferably has the following mean particle diameter and the particle size distribution (section 0044):

•	$D < 10 \; (\mu m)$	less than 1%	
	$10 \le D < 700 (\mu m)$	50-99%	
·	D ≧ 700 (µm)	remainder	

As to claim 8:

The polymer electrolyte fuel cell consisting of a plurality of unit cells connected together, each unit cell consisting of a pair of electrodes holding a polymer electrolyte membrane

therebetween and a pair of separators holding the electrodes between them, said separator having passages molded therein through which gas is supplied and discharged (claim 6).

Thus, the claims are anticipated.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 05/21/03, with respect to claims 1-8 have been fully considered and are persuasive. In this respect, it is noted that applicants contended that the art rejection of claims 1-8 has been rendered moot by the concurrently submitted certified translation of the priority document. However, it is further noted that such certified translation of the priority document has not been found or made of record. Thus, applicants are kindly requested to officially submit the certified translation of the priority document or re-submit it if it has been inadvertently misplaced by PTO.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/899,107

, Art Unit: 1745

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Alejandro whose telephone number is (703) 306-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (8:30 am - 7:00 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan can be reached on (703) 308-2383. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

> Raymond Alejandro Examiner Art Unit 1745

Page 5